

Kode>Nama Rumpun Ilmu : 742/Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

**USULAN PROPOSAL
PENELITIAN**



**An Analysis of Students' Learning Style On Mastering
English Vocabulary at First Year of English
Depatymnt UMMY Solok on 2019/2020
Academic Year**

Tahun ke 1 dari rencana 1 tahun

PENGUSUL:

**Fitri Handayani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIDN.1017048602**

**UNIVERSITAS MAHAPUTRA MUHAMMAD YAMIN SOLOK
FEBRUARI 2020**

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

Judul : An Analysis of Students' Learning Style on Mastering English Vocabulary at First Year of English Department UMMY Solok on 2019/2020 Academic Year

Peneliti/Pelaksana
Nama Lengkap : Fitri Handayani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIDN : 1017048602
Jabatan Fungsional : Lektor
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Perguruan Tinggi : Universitas Mahaputra Muhammad Yamin
Tahun Pelaksanaan : 2019
Sumber Dana : DIPA UMMY
Biaya Tahun Berjalan : 2019
Biaya Keseluruhan : Rp 4.500.000,- (UMMY)

Solok, 8 Februari 2020

Mengetahui,
Dekan Fakultas Keguruan dan
Ilmu Pendidikan

Pengusul,



(Afrhamiryo, S.Pd., M.Pd.)
NIDN. 1009048501

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'FH', representing Fitri Handayani.

(Fitri Handayani, S.Pd., M.Pd.)
NIDN. 1017048602

Menyetujui,
Kepala LP3M UMMY



(Dr. Wahyu Indah Mursalini, SE., MM.)
NIDN. 1019017402

DAFTAR ISI

RINGKASAN

- 1. PENDAHULUAN**
- 2. TINJAUAN PUSTAKA**
- 3. METODE**
- 4. DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

LAMPIRAN

Ringkasan penelitian tidak lebih dari 500 kata yang berisi latarbelakang penelitian, tujuan dan tahapan metode penelitian, luaran yang ditargetkan, serta uraian TKT penelitian yang diusulkan.

RINGKASAN

This study is aimed to know the correlation between students' learning styles and their vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department students of Mahaputra Muhammad Yamin University Solok 2017/2018 academic year. The background of this research based on the students' learning styles (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) used by students on vocabulary subject. The subject of this research is the first year students of English Department of Mahaputra Muhammad Yamin Solok University 2017/ 2018 academic year.

This research is conducted by using correlationalresearch. In collecting the data, the researcher used questionnaire and vocabulary test, in this questionnaire the students could tick (√) in the available coloums. In this case, the researcher used 30 items in form of statements. For vocabulary test, the researcher gave 40 items and studentcould be chosen a,b, c or d, as the correct answer on the answer sheetdst.

Kata kunci maksimal 5 kata

;Students – Vocabulary mastery.dst.

Latar belakang penelitian tidak lebih dari 500 kata yang berisi latar belakang dan permasalahan yang akan diteliti, tujuan khusus, dan urgensi penelitian. Pada bagian ini perlu dijelaskan uraian tentang spesifikasi khusus terkait dengan skema.

LATAR BELAKANG

A. Background of the Problem

Vocabulary is a number of words in language and these words are used as a media of language to express an idea. Vocabulary is the basic of a language because there is no languages without vocabulary. It is essentialpart of sentence that has important role in English. In speaking and writing, people need vocabulary to expressestheir idea. In listening and reading, vocabulary is needed to get some information about text or news. So, it is important for the students to master vocabulary in English to fluent communication in oral and written forms.

Vocabulary mastery is how far students comprehend about vocabulary itself. Students do not only know about the meaning of vocabulary but also they have to have enough vocabulary as they need. Then, students know how to use them properly and pronounce them correctly. When the students master vocabulary well, they will be easy to express their ideas. It also helps students interact with others. For further, it is of course to enrich their knowledge. Lastly, it improves their ability in English because the aim of mastering vocabulary is to make people can communicate effectively by using proper words.

Moreover, in English Department of a FKIP UMMY Solok, there was Vocabulary subject that should be learnt by students at the first year. In this subject, the students focused on learning about vocabulary starting from daily vocabulary such as things that they found at home, at school, and in public places. Every they learned Vocabulary subject, students should prepare equipment that they need during learning process. Other than pen and book, each student also should own dictionary to make them easier to find the meanings of difficult words. Through this activity, they could also enrich their vocabulary. At the end of every meeting, lecturer gave some home work to looked for and memorized some vocabularies related to the material that would be learnt next meeting. Along one semester, students were expected to master all of vocabulary that had been taught and also they could reach the goal of learning.

In other side, students still had difficulties to master the vocabulary although they had followed learning process for this subject. In fact, students still had limited vocabulary, they did not know how to pronounce correctly, confused in spelling, difficult to remember the meaning of the words, and students were not pay attention in choosing learning styles that would they use in learning process.

During learning process of this subject, students used vary learning styles to learn English. They memorized vocabulary by using a piece of paper that contains list of English words and that was very easy to do and they could do it anytime and anywhere. There were also students who prefer memorizing vocabulary by moving position from one place to other places, like walking around when memorizing list of vocabularies and reading text. In another side, many students like English song and see the lyrics. It made them beable to pronounce the words and enrich their vocabularies. There were also some

learning activities in classroom which relate with learning styles, like making a group discussion, grouping for dialogue, and watching video or movie, etc. In fact, students had their own style to improve their vocabulary mastery. In short, researcher believed that result of learning achievement by using different styles would be different too. So, students' achievement on their Vocabulary mastery could be influenced by their learning style.

Learning styles were the ways a student takes in, understands, expresses and remembers information. Students had their own way and technique to comprehend the knowledge and information. They chose and decided the best way to process the information during learning process. It helped students to learn faster and easier by selecting the appropriate learning style that they like. Students could prefer learning style that is suitable for them to learn and practice English by themselves.

B. Research Questions

In order to be able to answer the questions in the formulation of the problems above, the research questions were clarified:

1. How is the students' types learning styles at first year of English Department at Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year?
2. How is the students' vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department at Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year?
3. How is the correlation between students' types learning styles and their vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department at Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year?

C. Purpose of the Research

Based on the research questions above, the purposes of the research were:

1. To know the students' types learning styles at first year of English Department at Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year.

2. To know the students' vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department at Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year.
3. To know the correlation between students' types learning styles and their vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department at University of Mahaputera Muhammad Yamin Solok registered in 2017/2018 academic year.

D. Research Outcome

In this research, there are two kinds of significances. They are theoretical and practical significances. First, theoretical significance of this research can be used as a reference for the next researcher who wants to do research about correlation between students' learning styles and their vocabulary mastery. Second, practical significance of this research is the result of this research can give contribution to English teacher, this research result was expect to provide the teacher with the information about students' learning styles and their vocabulary mastery that they have correlation or not and the teacher can help students when they have some problems in learning vocabulary process relate with learning styles.

Tinjauan pustaka tidak lebih dari 1000 kata dengan mengemukakan *state of the art* dalam bidang yang diteliti. Bagan dapat dibuat dalam bentuk JPG/PNG yang kemudian disisipkan dalam isian ini. Sumber pustaka/referensi primer yang relevan dan dengan mengutamakan hasil penelitian pada jurnal ilmiah dan/atau paten yang terkini. Disarankan penggunaan sumber pustaka 10 tahun terakhir.

TINJAUAN PUSTAKA

A. Background of Vocabulary

In this chapter, there were some definitions of vocabulary proposed by linguist experts. According to Hornby (2000:1447), defines that vocabulary as: (1) all the words that a person knows or uses; (2) all the words in particular language; (3) the words that people use when they are talking about particular subject; (4) a list of words with their meaning. It means that a language that people used to talk a certain topic consists of number of words.

Moreover, Thornburry (2002:4) says a word that result from addition of an affix to a root, and which has different meaning from the root, it is called *derivative*. For example: *player*, *playful*, *replay* are each derivatives of *play*. Thus, inflexions and derivatives are both formed by the

process of affixation. Affixes consist of suffixes such as *-full* ends of a word. Moreover, there are prefixes such as *re-*, *un-*, *pre-* in the beginning of a word.

In addition, Linse (2005:121) says that vocabulary is the collection of words that an individual knows. When the students learn new words in their foreign language, they are adding new concepts as well as vocabulary items. Then, the students will easily understand all aspects of English if they have more collection of the words.

Lastly, Richards (2007:4) says that words cannot be learned instantaneously. It gradually and over a long time we have all had the experience and understand a word when we see it in a text and hear it in conversation, but not being able to use it. So, words can be saved in memory and being able to use it when we use it gradually.

Based on the explanation above, it could be concluded that people used consist of a number of words to talk and it was an important part of language proficiency and a great much of the basis for how well learners listen, speak, read, and write that could not be gotten instantaneously.

B. Learning Styles

Learning style is the way and technique that people use to learn and process information and knowledge. It helps students learn more faster and easier by selecting and using the appropriate learning style that they like. Learning styles refer to the variations in people's ability to accumulate as well as assimilate information.

Basically, learning styles are divided into three parts, they are as follows to Deporter (1999:111). Firstly, visual style. Visual learner usually focuses on viewing. The characteristics are students must see the demonstration in order they understand. They need to see more about the information visually and it can be easy to comprehend for them through the picture. Secondly, auditory style. Auditory learner prefers listening than other. They need to listen the information before understanding. Commonly, they like someone reads the information for them than they read by themselves. Lastly, kinesthetic style. Kinesthetic learner prefers studying by touching, manipulating and moving. They need the learning activities that have kinesthetic characteristics, dynamic and moving.

According to Dunn and Shirley (2000: 69), there are three types of learning style. They are:

a. Visual Learning Style

Students with visual learning style like to learn by seeing and observing things. It includes seeing and observing books, pictures, diagrams, demonstrations, displays, hand-outs, films, flip-chart, etc.

b. Auditory Learning Style

Students with auditory prefer to learn by hearing and listening. They are easy to understand and comprehend lesson or information by listening to the lectures, discussions, or recording. Therefore, they will feel disturbed when there is noise around them.

c. Kinesthetic Learning Style

In kinesthetic style, students have orientation to do trial-error activity. They are brave to take a risk. In answering question, they prefer to just an answer than to read the instruction before. They also learn practically and learn through manipulation .

Moreover, Jensen and Nickelsen (2011:35) say that learning styles are also divided into three parts. They are:

1. Visual style (visual learner)

Individual who fall into this category typically learn through what they are able to see with their own eyes. Visual learners have a tendency to describe everything that they see in terms of appearances. These learners love visual aids such as photos, diagrams, maps and graphs. Visual learners frequently are good writers and will commonly perform quite well on written assignments. The best ways for visual learner to process the information by recording, allocating, calculating, simplifying, describing, proving, preparing, evaluating, rewriting, drawing a picture, making mind-map, making a note, and using graphics organizer.

Visual style has some characteristics, they are: students/learners often look well-regulated in dressing, speak fast, good in spelling, strongly remember what they see than what they hear, use pictures aids to memorize or process some information, difficult to remember visual instruction except it is written and often ask someone else to repeat what he/she says, read fast and diligent and prefer reading by their selves than someone else reads for them, prefer art than music, always prefer doing the demonstration or practice than they speech, and usually do not have noise problems.

2. Auditory style

Auditory style (auditory learner) is learning style where learner or students are very good in listening. They tend to absorb information in a more efficient manner through sounds, music, discussions, teachings, etc. These individuals will be more likely to record lectures so that they can replay them at a later time for study purposes. Auditory learners appreciate books on tape and may find that reading aloud will help them to retain information. Rather than written reports, auditory learners tend to do better on oral presentations and reports. The best way for auditory learner to process the information by telling the information loudly, listening to the voice recording, discussing with friends or learning group, recording an argument or statement, doing an interview, teaching someone or people and debating with others.

Auditory style has some characteristics, they are: students/learners love talking much, discussing, and explaining information lengthily, they move their lips and utter the writing in a book when they read, love reading loudly and listening to it, feel difficult when they have to write the information but they are smart in explaining it, love repeating and imitating a tone, rhythm, music, voice, or sound, speak rhythmically and in a pattern, read fluently, love music, difficult in doing assignment or a work with concern with visualization, skillful in spelling loudly than they write.

There are some learning strategies for auditory style (auditory learner), those are: let them repeat the information loudly, convert writing information into voice information (song, recording, etc), play background music when they learn, use a reminder by asking learner or students repeat the key concept and instructions, play many rhythmical and united games when learning.

3. Kinesthetic style (kinesthetic learner)

Kinesthetic style is where students or learners are to do tactile learning. This means that they learn best through moving, doing, acting out and touching. Projects that are hands-on in nature are best for kinesthetic learners. Kinesthetic learners tend to become frustrated when

they must sit for long periods of time. They enjoy conducting experiments, exploring and performing tasks.

Kinesthetic learner has some characteristics, those are: learners or students always move that's way learners or students learn, move their body when they memorizing information like walking around, use fingers to point out the writing in a book when they read, can not sit silently in long time, talk slowly and usually stand up near someone when talking, always orientate in practice and physic activities, prefer learning through practice and physic activities, use much body language to communicate like not their head to express "yes", need concrete object as learning aids, want to do anything and love games.

There are some learning strategies for kinesthetic learner (kinesthetic style), they are: use role play game as learning, memorizing by walking around and see, show them how to do something and use aids equipments when learning, give silent activities after moving activities (example: reading text loudly after physic training at school), use manipulated method (example: moving parts of body for naming).

In conclusion, there were three learning styles that could be used by students in learning activity. They were: visual style, auditory style and kinesthetic style. Every learning style had some characteristics and some learning strategies each of them. It helped students learn faster and easier with selecting and using the appropriate learning style that they like.

Metode atau cara untuk mencapai tujuan yang telah ditetapkan ditulis tidak melebihi 600 kata. Bagian ini dilengkapi dengan diagram alir penelitian yang menggambarkan apa yang sudah dilaksanakan dan yang akan dikerjakan selama waktu yang diusulkan. Format diagram alir dapat berupa file JPG/PNG. Bagan penelitian harus dibuat secara utuh dengan penahapan yang jelas, mulai dari awal bagaimana proses dan luarannya, dan indikator capaian yang ditargetkan. Di bagian ini harus juga mengisi tugas masing-masing anggota pengurus sesuai tahapan penelitian yang diusulkan.


METODE

A. Design of the research

The research method applied by using correlational research. According to Ary, Jacobs and Sorensen (2010:35), correlation research is non experimental research that studies the direction and strength of relationship among variables. In addition, Kumar (2011:30) says that the main emphasis in a correlation study is to discover or establish the

existence of a relationship/association/ interdependence between two or more aspect of a situation. The researcher used correlation study to know the relation of learning styles and vocabulary mastery. Learning style was independent variable (X) of this study, and the dependent variable (Y) of this research was vocabulary mastery.

This research was about the correlation between students' learning styles and their vocabulary mastery so the researcher looked at the type or degree of relationship between two variables rather than at cause-effect relationship. The *co-rrrelational* used with formula as followed:



Where:

- X₁ : Visual learning style
- X₂ : Auditory learning style
- X₃ : Kinesthetic learning style
- Y : Vocabulary mastery

In this research, the researcher want to know the correlation between students' learning styles and their vocabulary mastery at first year of English Department at Mahaputra Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 Academic year.

B. Population and Sample

1. Population

According to Gay (2000:122) population is group the writer would like the result of a study to be generalize. The population of this research was all of students in first, second,third, and fourth year who were finished studying vocabulary subjectat English Department at Mahaputra Muhammad Yamin University Solok registered in 2017/2018 Academic year.In this research, the numbers of population were 28students.

Table 1. Population of the Research

No	Population (students active in 2017/2018 Academic Year)	
	Level	Numbers of student
1	I	7
2	II	5
3	III	6
4	IV	10
Total		28

2. Sample

According to Siregar (2014:56), sample is a data retrieval procedure, in which only a portion of the population take and use to determine the natural and desire characteristics of a population. The sampling technique in this research was purposivesampling. In this research, a purposively the researcher chose all of students in first year as samples and they were 7 students.

C. Instrumentation

According to Sugiyono (2009), there are measuring such as attitudes, opinions, and someone perceptions about social phenomena by using likert scale. To get the data, the researcher used two kinds of instruments, they were questionnaire and test. Instruments were the important element in this research because the researcher needs the data from the sample. Through these instruments the researcher got the data as valid as possible.

1. Questionnaire

According to Gay (2012), questionnaire is a number of questions. It may be a lot of questions about the content that would be research. The researcher used points in the questionnaire to score each item. They were: always(5), often(4), sometimes(3), seldom(2), and never(1). To construct the questionnaire the researcher hold on the theory in chapter II. Then, before the questionnaire gives to the samples the questionnaire should validate first by validator, at least three validators. On January 27, 2018 the questionnaire has validated by Marsika Sepyanda, M.Pd as 1st advisor, Fitri Handayani as English lecture in UMMY, and Rini Wirasti as Indonesian lecture in UMMY also. The questionnaire can be seen *appendix on page 44*. For further, encloses the proof of validation it can be seen *appendix 3 on page 48*

Tabel 2. The Indicators of Learning Styles

Indicators of Learning Styles	Number of Items	Time
VisualStyle	1, 6, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19, 22, 27	10
AuditoryStyle	2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15, 16, 20, 26, 29	10
KinestheticStyle	3, 7, 12, 14, 21, 23, 24, 25, 28, 30	10
Total	30	35

The total number of questionnaire were 30 items. The researcher gave 30 minutes to samples to response all of statements given by the researcher and 5 minutes to read and understand the direction, so the total of times given by researcher was 35 minutes. The questionnaire scored by using likert scale. According to Suparyanto (2011), the positive statement if the statement is suitable with researcher expectation: the score is from 5 to 1. The negative statement if the statement is not suitable with researcher expectation: the score is from 1 to 5.

2. Test

According to Arikunto (2010:138), test is a series of questions or other instrument which are used to measure individual or groups skill, knowledge, intelligence, capability or talent. In this research, the researcher gave vocabulary test it can be seen *appendix 4 on page 49*, then for the key answer it can be seen *appendix 5 on page 53*.

Tabel 3. The Indicators of Vocabulary

No	Indicator of vocabulary	Sub Indicator	Item	Number of item	Time
1	Grammar	Plural	10	21,22,,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30	20
		Adverb	10	31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40	
2	Meaning	Synonym	10	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	20
		Antonym	10	11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20	
Total			40		45 minutes

The total number of the test were 40 items. The researcher gave 40 minutes to samples to answer all of questions given by the researcher and 5 minutes to read and

understand the direction, so the total of times given by researcher was 45 minutes. The test gave to find out validity, reliability, item difficulty, and item discrimination of the test.

a. Validity

The researcher used content validity. According to Gay (2012: 161), content validity is the degree to which a test measures an intended content area. Content validity is of particular importance for achievement tests. A test score cannot accurately reflect a student's achievement if it does not measure what the students was taught and is supposed to have learned. In this research, the researcher tried out to other class to determine the validity. For further analysis it can be seen *appendix 6 on page 54*.

b. Reliability

According to Gay (2012:165), is the degree to which a test consistently measures whatever it is measuring. To see the reliability of the test in this research, the researcher measured the reability or stability of test with used K-R 21 formula that was proposed by Arikunto (2010:232):

$$r_{11} = \left(\frac{K}{K-1} \right) \left[1 - \frac{M(K-M)}{kV_t} \right]$$

$$M = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$

$$V_t = \frac{\sum X^2 - \frac{(\sum X)^2}{N}}{N}$$

Where:

r_{11} = the reliability instrument

K = the total of number of items

M = the mean of students' score

V_t = the total variances (S^2)

$\sum x$ = the sum of the students' score

$\sum x^2$ = the sum square of he students score

N = the sum of the students

The reliability of the test used value interpretation that suggested by Arikunto (2007), as followed:

Table 4. Value Interpretation of Reliability

No.	Value of r_{xy}	Interpretation
1.	0,80-1,00	Very High
2.	0,60-0,79	High
3.	0,40-0,59	Moderate
4.	0,20-0,39	Low
5.	0,00-0,19	Very Low

After the result, the reability score of instrument of this research was 0,87. It can be concluded that reliability of the instrument of this research was **very high**. For further analysis it can be seen *appendix 7 on page 55*.

c. Item Difficulty

In this research the researcher analyzed the item difficulty. It was conducted to know that the test items were not too difficult or too easy. The researcher analyzed the item difficulty index by using formula that was proposed by Arikunto (2010: 208). The following formula as followed:

$$P = \frac{B}{JS}$$

Where:

P = index difficulty

B = number of examines who answer correctly

JS = number of examines

According to Arikunto (2010:232) discrimination index criteria as followed:

Table 5. Difficulty Index Criteria

Difficulty index	Criteria
1,00-0,30	Difficult
0,30-0,70	Moderate
0,70-1,00	Easy

$$P = \frac{B}{JS} = \frac{4}{2} = 0,6$$

After calculating the result of try out, the researcher found the index difficulty number 1 was 0,6 with criteria was moderate. So, 6 items were easy and 34 items were moderate.

d. Item Discrimination

The researcher analyzed item discrimination to determine ability of the item in distinguishing between high level students and low level students. To determine item discrimination index, the researcher used formula that was proposed by Arikunto (2010:213).

$$D = \frac{BA}{JA} - \frac{BB}{JB}$$

Where:

D= discrimination index

BA =the number of high level examines who answer correctly

JA= the number of high level examines

BB= the number of low level examines answer correctly

JB= the number of low level examine

According to Arikunto (2010:218) discrimination index criteria as followed:

Table 6. Discrimination Index Criteria

Discrimination index	Criteria
0,00 - 0,20	Poor
0,20 - 0,40	Satisfactory

0,40 - 0,70	Good
0,70 - 1,00	Excellent

$$D = \frac{BA}{JA} - \frac{BB}{JB}$$

$$D = \frac{4}{5} - \frac{2}{5} = 0,2 \text{ (Satisfactory)}$$

The index discrimination number 1 was 0,4, with criteria was good. After analyzing the result of try out, the researcher found 21 items were satisfactory and 19 items were poor. The researcher has revised 3 items (26, 33, 39).

The analyzing of index difficulty and index discrimination of instrument, it can be seen **appendix8 on page 56**.

D. Technique of Data Collection

The data of the research was collected by using questionnaire given to the samples. First, the researcher gave instructions and asked them to answer the questionnaire by making check list. Then, the researcher collected the questionnaire and the questionnaire analyzed by the researcher. The next one, the researcher was collected by using test. First, the researcher asked the samples to choose the correct answer by crossing A, B, C, or D. Then, test collected by researcher and analyzed. After analyzing, the researcher correlated the result of analysis both questionnaire and test and the researcher drawn the conclusion of the research. At the end the researcher analyzed the students' score on questionnaire and vocabulary test. The schedule of the research can be seen **appendix9 on page 57**.

E. Technique of Data Analysis

1. Questionnaire

After collecting the data, the researcher analyzed and classified them. Then, the researcher calculated the percentage of the students' learning styles in vocabulary learning with used formula suggested by Sudjana and Ibrahim (1989: 129) as followed:

$$P = \frac{F}{N} \times 100\%$$

Where:

P = percentage of the answer

F = frequency

N = total respondents

After measuring the total percentage of the students' learning styles scores, researcher classified the percentage based on the classification suggested by Arikunto (2009: 230), to get quantitative description for each type of the students' learning styles after the percentage, the result of questionnaire classified into following interval:

Table 7. Interval of Frequency and Classification Level of Students' Learning Styles

Interval of frequency of the students' learning styles	Classification level of the students' learning styles
81% - 100%	Very good
61% - 80%	Good
41% - 60%	Average
21% - 40%	Poor
0% - 20%	Very poor

2. Test

After collecting the data, the data analyzed by researcher. Then, the data scored by the researcher. Finally, the researcher percentage the scores with formula suggested by Sudjana and Ibrahim (1989: 129), as followed:

$$P = \frac{F}{N} \times 100\%$$

Where:

P = percentage of the answer

F = frequency

N = total respondents

After measuring the total percentage of the answer with used frequency the correct answer and total the number of the items, the researcher counted students' ability in vocabulary. Students' ability counted by the formula below:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$$

Where:

\bar{x} = mean

$\sum x$ = sum of the scores

n = sum of students

Finally, after get the mean of student' ability in vocabulary, the ability drawn into the following category:

Table 8. Interval of Frequency and Classification Level of Students' Ability in Vocabulary

Interval of frequency of the students' ability in vocabulary	Classification level of the students' ability in vocabulary
81-100	Very good
66-88	Good
56-65	Average
41-55	Poor
0-40	Very poor

3. Correlation Finding

To answer the reseach questions, that was to have whether or not the correlation of learning style and vocabulary mastery significant statistically. It found r-value associated with the correlation coefficient. According to Ary, Jacobs, and Sorensen (2010:130), to obtain the value of r , pearson product moment formula as below:

$$r = \frac{\sum XY - \frac{(\sum x)(\sum Y)}{N}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum X^2 - \frac{(\sum X)^2}{N}\right)\left(\sum Y^2 - \frac{(\sum Y)^2}{N}\right)}}$$

Where:

r = value

$\sum X$ = sum of score of students' learning styles

$\sum Y$ = sum of score vocabulary

$\sum X^2$ = sum of the squared score of vocabulary

$\sum XY$ = sum of product o paired students' learning styles and vocabulary

N = number of students

After get the value of r , the correlation coefficient drawn into the following category:

Jadwal penelitian disusun dengan mengisi langsung tabel berikut dengan memperbolehkan penambahan baris sesuai banyaknya kegiatan.

JADWAL

No	NamaKegiatan	Bulan											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Pengusulan proposal penelitian	√	√										
2	Revisi proposal penelitian			√									
3	Observasiawalkelokasipenelitian				√								
4	Merancang instrument penelitian					√							
5	Pelaksanaan treatment penelitian						√	√					
6	Pelaksanaan post-test (pengumpulan data)								√				
7	Analisis Data									√	√		
8	Publikasihasilpenelitian											√	
9	Pelaporanhasilpenelitian												√

Daftar pustaka disusun dan ditulis berdasarkan sistem nomor sesuai dengan urutan pengutipan. Hanya pustaka yang disitasi pada usulan penelitian yang dicantumkan dalam Daftar Pustaka.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Franklin, Harry B. 1964. Vocabulary in Context. Michigan: Michigan Press.

2. McCarthy, Michael and Felicity O'Dell. 1999. *English Vocabulary in Use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
3. Kayi, H. (2006). 'Teaching speaking : activities to promote speaking in a second language'. Retrieved on January 02nd 2020 from www.iteslj.org
4. Lelita, Y. V. (2013). A Study on Students' Learning Strategies and Self-efficacy in Speaking I Class. *LLT Journal Vol. 19 No. 1*, ISSN 1410-7201.
5. Maulana, Akmal (2020). Students' Self-Efficacy in Speaking Class. Banjarmasin: Unpublished Thesis.
6. Miranti, H. (2016). *Hubungan Self-Efficacy Berdasarkan Gender dengan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran IPA Kelas VIII SMP Se-Kecamatan Teluk Betung Selatan Tahun Ajaran 2015/2016*. Lampung: Unpublished Thesis.
7. Mora, M. (2010). *Teaching Speaking*. Medan: Unpublished Thesis.
8. Nasa, H. L. (2014). Academic Self-Efficacy: A Reliable Predictor of Educational Performances. *British Journal of Education Vol.2, No. 3*, 57-64.
9. Sari, N.Y. (2018). *Self-Efficacy Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Matematika Berbentuk Cerita*. Banjarmasin: Unpublished Thesis.
10. Nugrahani, R. (2013). *Hubungan Self-Efficacy dan Motivasi Belajar dengan Kemandirian Belajar Siswa Kelas V SD Negeri Se-Kecamatan Danurejan Yogyakarta*. Yogyakarta: Unpublished Thesis.
11. Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English Language Teaching, First Edition*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
12. Pajares, F. (2003). Self-Efficacy Beliefs, Motivation, and Achievement in Writing: A Review of the Literature. *Reading & Writing Quarterly, Taylor & Francis*, 139-158.
13. Rahil Mahyuddin, H. E. (2006). The Relationship between Students' Self-Efficacy and Their English Language Achievement. *Jurnal Pendidik dan Pendidikan, Jil. 21*, 61-71.
14. Renandya, W. A. (2016). *English Language Teaching Today* (Vol. Volume 5). Switzerland: Springer.

15. Reynolds, W. M. (2003). *Handbook of Psychology: Volume 7 Educational Psychology*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
16. Schunk, B. J. (2003). *Educational Psychology: A Century of Contributions*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
17. Sudjana. (2005). *Metoda Statistika*. Bandung: Tarsito.
18. Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan (Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D)*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

LAMPIRAN 1. JUSTIFIKASI ANGGARAN

1. Honor				
Honor	Honor/Jam (Rp)	Waktu (jam/minggu)	Minggu	Honor per Tahun/ 12 bulan (Rp.)
Validator Instrument	100,000	1	2	200,000
Pengumpul Data	65,000	2	1	130,000
Analisis Data	150,000	3	1	450,000
Sub Total (Rp.)				780,000
2. Peralatan Penunjang				
Material	Justifikasi Pemakaian	Kuantitas	Harga Satuan (Rp)	Biaya per Tahun
Buku referensi	Referensi/ rujukan bahan penelitian	8	125,000	1,000,000
Fotocopy	Perbanyak instrument penelitian	7	35,000	245,000
Dokumentasi selama penelitian	Penelitian	4	50,000	200,000
Jumlah				1,445,000
3. Bahan Habis Pakai				
Material	Justifikasi Pemakaian	Kuantitas	Harga Satuan (Rp)	Biaya per Tahun
Kertas HVS	Print laporan penelitian, instrument penelitian	1	55,000	55,000
Catridge Hitam Canon MP280	Print laporan penelitian, instrument penelitian	1	315,000	315,000
Catridge Warna Canon MP280	Print laporan penelitian, instrument penelitian	1	385,000	385,000
penggaris	instrument	2	5,000	10,000
Note book	Print laporan	1	30,000	30,000
Penghapus	instrument penelitian	1	5,000	5,000
Tinta Printer	Print laporan penelitian, instrument penelitian	4	65,000	340,000
Buku folio	Catatan lapangan penelitian	3	20,000	60,000

Pen Correction (Tipe X)	Catatan lapangan penelitian	1	5,000	5,000
Bulpoint	Catatan lapangan penelitian	48	3,000	144,000
Map File Bening	Penyimpanan SK Pelaksanaan Kegiatan	6	6,000	36,000
Map Dokumen	Penyimpanan bahan-bahan hasil penelitian	6	20,000	120,000
Materai 10000	Kontrak Penelitian	12	10,000	120,000
Jumlah				1,625,000
4. Perjalanan				
Material	Justifikasi Perjalanan	Kuantitas	Harga Satuan (Rp)	Biaya/tahun/12 bulan (Rp)
Biaya Transportasi Observasi	Perjalanan Observasi	2	75,000	150,000
Biaya Transportasi Penelitian	Perjalanan Penelitian	2	50,000	100,000
Jumlah				250,000
5. Lain-lain				
Kegiatan	Justifikasi	Kuantitas	Harga Satuan (Rp)	Biaya per Tahun (Rp)
Publikasi di Jurnal	Luaran	1	400,000	400,000
Sub Total (Rp)				400,000
TOTAL ANGGARAN YANG DIPERLUKAN SETIAP TAHUN (RP)				4,500,000